

**Palomar Pomerado Health
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
SPECIAL BOARD MEETING
PUBLIC HEARING
TO ADOPT ADDENDUM TO THE ERTC SPECIFIC PLAN EIR
Pomerado Hospital, Meeting Room E, Poway
Tuesday, December 6, 2005 at 5:30 p.m.**

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	CONCLUSIONS/ACTION	FOLLOW-UP/RESPONSIBLE PARTY
CALL TO ORDER	5:30 pm Quorum comprised Directors Bassett, Greer, Kleiter, Krider, Larson, Rivera and Scofield. Guest: Attorney Allen Haynie		
NOTICE OF MEETING	Notice of Meeting was mailed consistent with legal requirements		
PUBLIC COMMENTS			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Jeff Switzer 	Mr. Switzer of Escondido relayed that he had sent a letter to Chairman Rivera noting that there were significant planned changes for the ERTC as noted in the Addendum. Because of these changes a revised EIR should be prepared for the Escondido Research and Technology Center (ERTC), and voiced concern over the nearby Palomar Energy Project and sections of the PEP certification that are now changed including noise, traffic and transportation, public health and safety. He requested that since the original EIR, a new EIR should be prepared for the ERTC.	Chairman Rivera thanked the speakers for coming to address the Board as it was their right, even if there is not agreement in the matter, but requested to hear from the experts regarding these issues.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Robroy Fawcett 	Mr. Fawcett of Escondido also voiced concern on the ERTC EIR Addendum and proposed Resolutions, citing issues with the Addendum to the Final EIR for the ERTC, and the Resolutions.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution No. 12.06.05 (01) – 24 – Adoption and required Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations for the Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact 	Attorney Allen Haynie reported that there were three separate Resolutions before the Board for approval involving compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act; approval of the project; and action relative to the use of bond proceeds.	MOTION: by Kleiter, 2 nd by Bassett and carried unanimously that Resolution No. 12.06.05 (01) – 24 Adoption and Required Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations for the Addendum to the Final Environmental Impact	

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	CONCLUSIONS/ACTION	FOLLOW-UP/RESPONSIBLE PARTY
<p data-bbox="277 214 583 393">Report for the Escondido Research and Technology Center Specific Plan pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act</p> <p data-bbox="289 457 520 483">--Discussion/Review</p> <p data-bbox="323 1172 604 1237">--Addendum to the ERTC Specific Plan Final EIR</p>	<p data-bbox="613 207 1117 506">He invited Mr. Mike Shanahan, PPH Director of Facility Planning and Development to briefly again review the project. Mr. Shanahan provided a review, with Mr. Haynie noting that the EIR was prepared prior to the ERTC site and that there was now a final EIR distributed to the public. Mitigation measures were adopted for that EIR. Whenever there was a change in the project, we had to examine the changes that alter the EIR.</p> <p data-bbox="625 539 1129 727">The consultants prepared the analysis to determine the change from an industrial use to a hospital use previously discussed in the EIR. The result of that analysis demonstrated that there were not going to be new significant changes.</p> <p data-bbox="634 760 1150 1133">That Addendum was made available. A final version of the Addendum was now before the Board which was a little different to the previous version. We are required by CEQA to consult with other responsible agencies including the City of Escondido. We provided a copy of the draft to the City and heard their concerns. As a result of that discussion, additional information had been added to the Addendum regarding hazards, the nearby power plant, assurance of adequate water, aesthetics and views.</p> <p data-bbox="655 1156 1171 1497">The Final Addendum now presented, included such revisions resulting from that discussion, with a frontispiece attached noting those revisions that resulted from the discussion with the City including the added date of FEIR certification; enhanced hazards; enhanced public services and utilities; enhanced aesthetics and views that included an analysis of views from nearby residences; an MMRP as Attachment 1 identifying applicable mitigation measures from the FEIR not included</p>	<p data-bbox="1150 198 1570 409">Report for the Escondido Research and Technology Center Specific Plan pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, be adopted, noting that the population figure be amended from 1.3 million to 592,000.</p> <p data-bbox="1159 441 1474 467">All in favor. None Opposed.</p>	

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	CONCLUSIONS/ACTION	FOLLOW-UP/RESPONSIBLE PARTY
	<p>previously, and updated Figs. 2,4,5 and 6.</p> <p>Attorney Allen Haynie referred to Mr. Switzer's letter, responding that with regard to noise the EIR does address this issue and was undertaken Nov 1, 2005 with no issue associated with the hospital. Regarding traffic, the project was required to analyze those projects including any additional projects. The matter of public health was addressed in the Addendum regarding SEMPRA, as well as the issue of helicopters and power lines.</p> <p>Director Kleiter noted that Palomar Medical Center and its helipad was located in the center of the City of Escondido and there had been no helicopter incidents since the helipad was built.</p> <p>Following a question from Director Larson, Allen Haynie responded that the EIR had been fully reviewed and adopted by the City of Escondido and, as there were no new significant impacts, an Addendum was the proper mechanism to use.</p> <p>Director Krider referred to Mr. Buddie Gran's letter concerning the power plant. Mr. Haynie responded that the Addendum goes back to the data provided by SEMPRA as to whether that would present any kind of health risk. The conclusion by the experts was that it did not present a problem and the hazards situation had been fully reviewed and found to be of no concern.</p> <p>Director Krider inquired if we were comfortable with SEMPRA's report. Mr. Haynie responded that it went through the public process, and was vetted and analyzed.</p> <p>Mr. Haynie then stated that assuming the Board was comfortable with this Addendum</p>		

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	CONCLUSIONS/ACTION	FOLLOW-UP/RESPONSIBLE PARTY
	<p>to the ERTC Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report dated December 6, 2005, there were two additional Resolutions for Board approval. Regarding the population figure quoted in the first resolution, Resolution No. 12.06.05 (01) – 24 (first paragraph/third line) this should be amended to read 592,000 and not 1.3 million.</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution No. 12.06.05 (02) – 25 Evidencing Compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act – Escondido Research and Technology Center Specific Plan Amendments for new Palomar Pomerado Healthcare Facilities 		<p>MOTION: by Krider, 2nd by Larson and carried unanimously that Resolution No. 12.06.05 (02) – 25 Evidencing Compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act – Escondido Research and Technology Center Specific Plan Amendments for new Palomar Pomerado Healthcare Facilities be adopted.</p> <p>All in favor. None opposed.</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution No. 12.06.05 (03) – 26 of Approval for Palomar Medical Center Project 		<p>MOTION: by Larson, 2nd by Bassett and carried unanimously that Resolution No. 12.06.05 (03) – 26 of Approval for Palomar Medical Center Project be adopted.</p> <p>All in favor. None opposed.</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledgements 	<p>Chairman Rivera particularly thanked Bob Hemker and Michael Covert for their hard efforts in bringing this whole matter to successful fruition.</p>		
<p>ADJOURNMENT</p>	<p>6:10 p.m.</p>		
<p>SIGNATURES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Board Secretary ▪ Board Assistant 	<p>_____</p> <p>Linda C. Greer, R.N.</p> <p>_____</p> <p>Christine D. Meaney</p>		